	Case 1:20-cv-01288-AWI-SKO Docume	ent 23 Filed 12/03/20 Page 1 of 2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DIONTAE JOHAN DUNCAN,	No. 1:20-cv-01288-AWI-SKO (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
13	V.	RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT AS PREMATURE
14	THE CALIFORNIA HEALTHCARE RECEIVERSHIP CORP., et al.,	
15	Defendants.	(Docs. 11, 18, 19)
16	Defendants.	
17	Plaintiff Diontae Johan Duncan is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
18	pauperis in this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United	
19	States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	Because Plaintiff is a state prisoner and sues governmental entities, his complaint is	
21	subject to screening pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. The assigned magistrate judge has not yet	
22	screened the complaint and no defendants have appeared in this action.	
23	On October 5, 2020, Plaintiff filed a motion for default judgment. (Doc. 11.) On October	
24	28, 2020, the assigned magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, recommending that	
25	plaintiff's motion be denied as premature. (Doc. 18.) The magistrate judge informed plaintiff that	
26	he may not proceed with this action until his complaint has been screened. (Id. at 1.) The judge	
27	provided plaintiff 14 days to file objections to the findings and recommendations. (<i>Id.</i> at 2.)	
28	///	

Plaintiff filed objections on November 10, 2020. (Doc. 20.) Plaintiff's objections do not 1 2 dispute the magistrate judge's finding that Plaintiff's motion for default judgment is premature. 3 Plaintiff filed a second motion for default judgment on October 29, 2020. (Doc. 19.) 4 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a 5 de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, including Plaintiff's objections, 6 the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper 7 analysis. 8 Accordingly, the Court ORDERS: 9 1. The findings and recommendations issued on October 28, 2020 (Doc. 18) are 10 ADOPTED in full; 11 2. Plaintiff's motions for default judgment (Docs. 11, 19) are DENIED as premature; 12 and, This case is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further proceedings. 13 3. 14 IT IS SO ORDERED. 15 Dated: <u>December 3, 2020</u> 16 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

Case 1:20-cv-01288-AWI-SKO Document 23 Filed 12/03/20 Page 2 of 2

28